| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | 10/784,979 | YACH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | SHEW-FEN LIN | 2166 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>after NF</u> | | |
| (1) <u>SHEW-FEN LIN</u> . | (3) <u>T. Andrew Currier</u> . | | |
| (2) <u>Kristjan Spence</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 January 2010 | Time: <u>12:50PM</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 20 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Applicant and Examiner discussed proposed amendments to expedite the prosecution. | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | | |
| Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| /Shew-Fen Lin/ Examiner, Art Unit 2166 (A | .pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | |